

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/647,586 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) SELTZER ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner PARAS SHAH </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 2626 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/647,586	Applicant(s) SELTZER ET AL.	Examiner PARAS SHAH	Art Unit 2626
Application No. 10/647,586	Applicant(s) SELTZER ET AL.				
Examiner PARAS SHAH	Art Unit 2626				

All Participants:

(1) PARAS SHAH.

(2) Ted Magee.

Date of Interview: 01/06/2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____
1

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/P. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview mainly dealt with the withdrawal of the claims from appeal and that the application would be allowed upon correcting of 35 USC 101 issues. The Applicant's representative was given suggestions to include a processor performing the steps of the method claim and to include a receiving and producing step..